Cryptic lineages and diversification of an endemic anole lizard (Squamata, Dactyloidae) of the Cerrado hotspot
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1. Introduction

The South American Cerrado is a vast tropical savanna that, despite an apparent uniformity in appearance, is characterized by a rich, endemic and threatened biota (Myers et al., 2000; Nogueira et al., 2011). Despite its recognition as a biodiversity hotspot, there is no consensus on which mechanisms mainly promoted species diversification. Some of the non-mutually exclusive hypotheses to explain the Cerrado diversity (Collí et al., 2005; Werneck, 2011) include: (a) the plateau/depression hypothesis, which suggests old shields in the Brazilian Plateau (that uplifted during the late Tertiary) were separated by younger lineages associated with plateaus and younger lineages associated with depressions; (b) the marine transgressions hypothesis, which suggests that cycles of marine transgressions into the
Paraná basin in the middle and late Miocene promoted extensive flooding of lowlands, isolating and differentiating highland populations and causing the extinction of lowland populations and a subsequent recolonization; and (c) the Pleistocene refuge hypothesis, which suggests that genetic diversity should be higher in regions of higher stability (refugia) during Pleistocene climatic fluctuations.

Recent molecular studies have found that different mechanisms are responsible for the diversification of different taxonomic groups in Cerrado. For instance, some groups support the effect of old events such as the final uplift of the Central Brazilian Plateau (Domingos et al., 2014; Werneck et al., 2012a), or the late Tertiary marine transgressions on diversification patterns (Garda and Cannatella, 2007; Giugliano et al., 2013; Werneck et al., 2009), while others support the role of recent Quaternary cycles (Ramos et al., 2007). In some taxa, diversification can also be explained by both old and recent events (Maciel et al., 2010; Prado et al., 2012). Furthermore, climatic stability may be a good predictor of squamate species richness (Werneck et al., 2012d), while other studies have found a lack of correlation between climatic stability and levels of genetic diversity or main phylogeographical patterns (Magalhães et al., 2014; Pinheiro et al., 2013; Santos et al., 2014).

One explanation for the fact that different studies on Cerrado biodiversity support different hypotheses is that most of these studies were carried out at different temporal, spatial, and taxonomic scales. The temporal scale can be fundamental in explaining diversity patterns in opposite ways. For instance, regional community species composition and deep phylogenetic splits are more likely to depend on long-term processes such as speciation, extinction, and migration (Ricklefs, 1987). On the other hand, short temporal scales can have more explanatory power when addressing below-species diversity patterns, such as intraspecific genetic diversity and divergence, which mostly are dependent on ecological factors such as niche width and niche conservatism (Gómez-Rodríguez et al., 2014; Wiens, 2004), dispersal abilities (Ghalambor et al., 2006), and environmental heterogeneity (Garrick, 2010; Guarnizo and Cannatella, 2013). The spatial (or geographic) and taxonomic scales of a study may also have profound effects on the diversity patterns detected (Wiens, 1989). Above the species-level, the larger the geographic sampling scheme, the more diversity will be encountered. Below the species-level, genetic variation is often larger when species are sampled throughout their geographical range compared with a more restricted geographic sampling (Bergsten et al., 2012). Ignoring the effects of differing temporal, spatial, and taxonomic scales when comparing regional diversification studies can be problematic, because at first glance what appears to be conflicting mechanisms might in fact be congruent processes seen from different temporal and spatial perspectives. Intraspecific genetic analyses of widely distributed species are fundamental if the goal is to understand the processes that explain the Cerrado diversification, because the effects of both geographic and temporal scale of diversification can be tested in a single study.

Anole lizards have been used as model organisms to evaluate the response of intraspecific genetic diversification to ecological pressures and allopatric events at multiple geographic and temporal scales, mainly in Caribbean island systems (Calsbeek et al., 2007; Hertz et al., 2013; Losos, 2009; Thorpe et al., 2010). Literature on the diversification of mainland South American anoles is scarcer, but previous work has addressed deep phylogenetic relationships (Castaneda and De Queiroz, 2011; Prates et al., 2014) and recently diversified groups of forest species (Glor et al., 2001). The taxonomy of anoles has been a topic of discussion (Castaneda and De Queiroz, 2013; Nicholson et al., 2012; Poe, 2013) and we choose to recognize Norops as a separate genus, which may lead to a deeper understanding and greater appreciation of the diversity within anoles (Nicholson et al., 2014).

Norops meridionalis Boettger 1885 is a small, endemic anole lizard originally described from Paraguay and also recorded in open areas in the Cerrado biome of Brazil and Bolivia (Langstroth, 2006; Nogueira et al., 2011; Vanzolini and Williams, 1970). Characterized by cryptic coloration and behavior, very little is known about its ecology (Costa et al., 2013; Gaisby and Colli, 2003, 2014; Nogueira et al., 2005; Vitt, 1991; Vitt and Caldwell, 1993). Perhaps because of its cryptic appearance, we are still ignorant about its potential distribution, biogeographic origin, and the patterns and processes that explain its intraspecific genetic variation. The wide geographic distribution of N. meridionalis makes it an ideal model to explore the main factors that promoted intraspecific genetic variation at different temporal and geographic scales in the Cerrado region.

Here we used mitochondrial and nuclear datasets to evaluate the following non-exclusive hypotheses and corresponding predictions regarding the temporal and spatial processes that may have influenced the diversification and distribution of N. meridionalis:

1. At broader temporal and geographical scales, the genetic divergence in N. meridionalis is explained by old Tertiary geologic, orographic, and climatic events. This hypothesis predicts that the timing of major divergences within the species will coincide with the final uplift of the Central Brazilian Plateau or the marine transgressions during the Neogene. Further, we expect that a prolonged geographic isolation of populations promoted genetic diversity within N. meridionalis. At narrower temporal and geographic scales, we expect support for an association between intraspecific genetic variation and climatic/orographic heterogeneity.

2. Rather than old processes, genetic divergence in N. meridionalis at wide geographic scales is explained by recent climatic cycles during the Pleistocene. Here, we expect divergence times between major clades not to be older than 2 million years (my), independent of the geographic distance between populations, and strong discordance between genetic variation and the geographic distribution of populations.

3. Allopatric speciation due to niche conservatism (i.e. maintenance of niche preferences through time), rather than niche divergence (Wiens and Graham, 2005), explains major diversification within N. meridionalis because populations were unable to adapt to novel conditions generated by the Neogene toposynsamic dynamics, promoting the appearance of geographic barriers to gene flow. This hypothesis predicts climatic properties by highly divergent clades from disjunct geographic areas to be more similar than expected by chance. Also, we expect to find non-stationary patterns of isolation by distance, which occurs when the rate at which genetic differentiation between individuals accumulates with distance depends on space due to factors such as the existence of barriers to gene flow (Duforet-Frebourg and Blum, 2014).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. DNA sequencing

We included 84 tissue samples of N. meridionalis from 21 sites in the Brazilian Cerrado (Fig. 1). DNA samples were obtained from specimens deposited primarily at the Coleção Herpetológica da Universidade de Brasília (CHUNB) and other Brazilian collections (Table S1 for museum numbers, geographic coordinates, and GenBank accession numbers. Sequence alignments can be accessed in the TreeBase dataset link http://purl.org/phylo/treebase/phylo/ study/TB2:S13851). We extracted total DNA from liver tissue with a MagMax Kit (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA), following the manufacturer’s protocol. We amplified by polymerase chain
reaction (PCR) and sequenced for all individuals a fragment of the mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenase 2 (ND2) gene, consisting of 1044 bp. We complemented the mitochondrial information by sequencing fragments of three nuclear genes, totaling four loci and 2830 bp: the prolactin receptor (PRL) gene, consisting of 573 bp; the natural killer cell triggering receptor (NKTR) gene, consisting of 633 bp; and the nerve growth factor beta polypeptide (NGFB) gene, consisting of 580 bp. Due to problems with PCR, not all individuals were successfully sequenced for all four loci. Primers and PCR protocols are depicted in supplementary materials (Table S2). Sanger sequencing was conducted by Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, Korea). Chromatograms were assembled and inspected by eye using Geneious Pro 5.4.7 (Geneious Co., Wellington, New Zealand).

2.2. Gene tree, species tree, divergence times, and spatiotemporal phylogeography

To test our first and second hypotheses (old vs. young events promoting genetic divergence within *N. meridionalis*) we estimated gene genealogies, species trees, and divergence times based on single-genes and partitioned concatenated alignments (mitochondrial and nuclear DNA). Gene genealogies were based on Bayesian inference (BI), using MrBayes 3.1.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003). The Akaike information criterion (Akaike, 1974), implemented in jModelTest 2.1.7 (Darriba et al., 2012), was used to select the optimal nucleotide substitution model for each gene in the partitioned analysis (models selected for each gene were ND2: GTR+I+G, NKTR: HKY+I, NGFB: K80+G, and PRL: GTR+I). We generated two runs of 10 million generations with the Metropolis-coupled Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm. Each run contained four incrementally heated Markov chains (temperature 0.05), sampled every 1000 generations. Convergence of the two runs was assumed when the average standard deviation of the split frequencies was less than 0.01. We contrasted our BI analysis with a partitioned maximum likelihood inference (ML) using RAxML version 8.0 (Stamatakis, 2006), using a GTRGAMMA model of evolution and a standard heuristic search with 1000 pseudoreplicates. To root the ML and BI trees, we used *N. chrysoplepis* (GeneBank accession numbers JN191537 and JN191530) and *N. cf. tandai* (AF337807). Additionally, we used the species within the *chrysoplepis* species group sequenced by D’Angiolella et al. (2011) – *N. tandai*, *N. brasiliensis*, *N. planiceps*, *N. scypheus*, and *N. bombiceps* – to root a tree using the ND2 gene (Fig. S1).

The species tree and divergence times for *N. meridionalis* were based on the four loci under a coalescent model using *BEAST* v2.1.3 (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007). We used a Yule tree prior, uncorrelated lognormal relaxed clocks, and calibrated the time estimates using a normal prior distribution on the mtDNA global substitution rate (mean = 0.0065 substitutions/my; SD = 0.0025) following commonly used estimates for lizards of 0.65% changes/my (Macey et al., 1998). Substitution rates for the three nuclear markers were estimated relative to the mtDNA rate using a gamma prior for ucld.mean with default values, and exponential prior for ucld.stdev, with a mean of 0.5. We performed five independent runs of 100 million generations each, sampled at every 10,000 steps, totaling a posterior distribution of 10,000 trees/run. To assess stationary posterior distributions, effective sample sizes (ESS) above 200, and convergence between runs, we examined parameter traces with Tracer v1.6 (Rambaut et al., 2014). We estimated the species tree following the group assignment provided by the Bayesian genetic clustering analyses (BAPS) described below. We combined trees and log files after removing a burn-in of 10% with Log Combiner v1.7.5 (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007) and subsequently annotated the combined tree files with TreeAnnotator v1.7.5 (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007) to calculate the maximum clade credibility (MCC) species tree.

Because our first and second hypotheses have a geographic component, we also reconstructed the diffusion history within *Norops meridionalis* under a continuous spatiotemporal Bayesian approach with *BEAST* v1.7.5. We employed the mtDNA data set

---

**Fig. 1.** Species distribution model and sampling sites of *Norops meridionalis*. (a) Species distribution model of the nominal species *Norops meridionalis*. Warmer colors indicate higher probabilities of occurrence. Red dots indicate the localities used to generate the species distribution model. (b) Sampling sites of *N. meridionalis* depicted in a topographic map of the Brazilian Cerrado biome in the states of BA – Bahia, GO – Goiás, MA – Maranhão, MG – Minas Gerais, MS – Mato Grosso do Sul, MT – Mato Grosso, PA – Pará, PI – Piauí, RO – Rondônia, and TO – Tocantins. Colors of sampling localities correspond to candidate species in Fig. 2. Numbers are map codes depicted in Table S1. Minimum convex polygons indicate the potential geographic range of each of the five highly genetically divergent lineages discovered here. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
based on unique haplotypes (n = 71) and applied a lognormal relaxed random walk (RRW) model, which is a time-heterogeneous approach that allows for heterogeneous diffusion rates across the branches of the phylogeny (Lemey et al., 2010), to infer the geographic location of ancestors and the diffusion of lineages continuously over space and time while accommodating for genealogical uncertainty. We implemented the same set of priors for the mtDNA substitution rates as described above and applied the Jitter option on multivariateTraitLikelihood to add a random noise to identical coordinates samples. We performed five independent runs of 100 million generations each, sampled at every 10,000 steps, assessed parameter traces with Tracer v1.6 (Rambaut et al., 2014), annotated tree files with Log Combiner v1.7.5, and computed the MCC tree with TreeAnnotator v1.7.5 (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007). We then generated a visual representation of the lineages diffusion across space and time for Google Earth using the Continuous Tree module of SPREAD v1.0.7 (Bielejec et al., 2011).

2.3. Genetic clustering algorithms and species delimitation

Because our first hypothesis predicts that old genetic divergence events would promote diversification within N. meridionalis, we performed a Bayesian population mixture analysis implemented in BAPS v. 4.13 (Corander et al., 2006) to identify highly divergent genetic groups that might correspond to potential cryptic species. We conducted the mixture analysis of individuals using the four-genre concatenated alignment and the geographic origin of the samples used as a prior. We ran BAPS with the maximal number of groups (K) set to 2–10.

We contrasted the groups found by BAPS with a species delimitation approach using BPP v. 3.0 (Yang and Rannala, 2010). The species tree generated by BEAST was used as guide tree for all BPP analyses. We conducted three prior distribution schemes for ancestral population size (θ) and root age (τ) (Leaché and Fujita, 2010) to take into account three types of speciation histories: small population size/shallow divergence, large population size/deep divergence and large populations sizes/shallow divergences among species. BPP implements the reversible-jump Markov Chain Monte Carlo (rjMCMC) algorithm that moves between different species delimitation models. Since rjMCMC is known for exhibiting mixing problems in some datasets (Rannala and Yang, 2013), all the analyses were done several times to check if different starting tree models produced congruent results. We also analyzed the data using both algorithms (0 and 1) to specify the rjMCMC moves (Table 1). Initially we used the automatic adjustment for the fine-tune variables for rjMCMC moves, but the results were always equal to the starting tree model indicating poor mixing. Thus, we used the manual adjustment for the fine-tune parameters on species delimitation algorithm 1, according to the program documentation. Even though we only got ideal fine-tune adjustments for algorithm 0. All runs consisted of 50,000 samples taken every five steps with a burn-in period of 10,000 steps.

2.4. Species distribution models and niche conservatism/divergence analyses

To test our third hypothesis (which predicts that major diversification within N. meridionalis is due to allopatric speciation associated with niche conservatism), we performed a background similarity test between pairs of minimum convex polygons that circumscribe the sampling sites of the highly supported groups identified by gene trees and BAPS and validated with BPP using the R package phylolim (Heibl and Calenge, 2011). The background similarity test asks whether environmental niche models of pairs of geographic areas are more different than expected by chance, by comparing a focal region to pseudoreplicate models generated by randomly subsampling the background of its paired region (Warren et al., 2008). We used Schoener’s D statistic for niche overlap and the similarity statistic I to quantify niche similarity; both statistics range from 0 (no overlap) to 1 (identical niche models). The species distribution models used by phylolim were estimated with Maxent 3.3 (Phillips et al., 2010), using 122 independent occurrence localities, 25% of random points for test, and 19 spatial bioclimatic variables (see Santos et al., 2014; Werneck et al., 2012) from the WorldClim database with 30 arc-sec resolution (Hijmans et al., 2005).

We selected lineages 2 and 3 to perform the background similarity test, since these lineages included most of the sampled sites (lineages 1, 4, and 5 were sampled in either one or very few localities, rendering geographic variation analyses impossible).

2.5. Non-stationary analyses, isolation-by-distance, and geographic barrier tests

Since our third hypothesis also predicts that the inability of lineages to adapt to novel climatic conditions favors the appearance of geographic barriers to gene flow, we determined if genetic differentiation between individuals within genetic groups increased at different rates in different regions of the Brazilian Cerrado, i.e., a nonstationary pattern of isolation by distance consistent with a scenario of niche conservatism explaining the diversification. We used LocalDiff 1.5 (Duforet-Frebourg and Blum, 2014), which uses kriging, a method that can interpolate genetic distances in unsampled sites based on genetic distances measured at sampled sites. The interpolation is dependent on a weighted average of values measured at all sampling sites, and the weights rely on a parametric function that provides the decay of the similarity matrix with geographic distance. The similarity

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scheme</th>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>θ</th>
<th>τ</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 (c = 5)</td>
<td>G (2, 2000)</td>
<td>G (2, 2000)</td>
<td>Small population size Shallow divergence</td>
<td>Favors the model that all five groups belong to different species (p = 1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 (c = 5)</td>
<td>G (1, 10)</td>
<td>G (1, 10)</td>
<td>Large population size Deep divergence</td>
<td>Favors the model that all five groups belong to different species (p = 1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 (c = 5)</td>
<td>G (1, 10)</td>
<td>G (2, 2000)</td>
<td>Large population size Shallow divergence</td>
<td>Favors the model that all five groups belong to different species (p = 1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 (z = 2, m = 1)</td>
<td>G (2, 2000)</td>
<td>G (2, 2000)</td>
<td>Small population size Shallow divergence</td>
<td>Poor mixing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 (z = 2, m = 1)</td>
<td>G (1, 10)</td>
<td>G (1, 10)</td>
<td>Large population size Deep divergence</td>
<td>Poor mixing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 (z = 2, m = 1)</td>
<td>G (1, 10)</td>
<td>G (2, 2000)</td>
<td>Large population size Shallow divergence</td>
<td>Poor mixing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
matrix was estimated as 1 – (genetic distance/maximum genetic distance); therefore, local genetic differentiation ranged from 0 (site with the lowest local differentiation) to 1 (site with the highest local differentiation). The maximum genetic distance corresponded to the largest genetic distance found within genetically divergent groups identified previously. The output of GenDiff generates a minimum convex polygon that encompasses all sampling sites within a genetic group, where warmer colors represent areas with stronger local genetic differentiation.

Genetic distances between all mitochondrial ND2-alignment pairs were estimated assuming the K2P model in MEGA 4.0 (Tamura et al., 2011), using the pairwise-deletion option. In LocalDiff we implemented the following parameters: two fictional neighboring populations in the vicinity of each sampled site, and a geographic distance between the neighbors and the sampling sites of 0.1 km. LocalDiff measures were averaged over 1000 replicates.

Because LocalDiff assumes isolation by distance (Dufoire-Frebourg and Blum, 2014), we checked whether population differentiation indeed increased with increasing geographical distance by estimating the relationship between geographic and genetic distances with multiple matrix regression with randomization (MMRR) (Wang and Bradburd, 2014), which tests the significance of a simple or multiple regression using a randomized permutation procedure to account for the potential non-independence among samples. Geographic distances were estimated with Geographic Distance Matrix Generator (Ersts, 2011). We performed the MMRR method using the R function from Ian Wang (Wang and Bradburd, 2014) with 10,000 permutations.

We determined the geographic position of potential barriers to gene flow between genetically divergent groups with Barrier 2.2 (Manni et al., 2004). This program generates a geometric network connecting all sampled haplotypes to identify boundaries where differences between pairs of haplotypes are largest, using Monmonier’s maximum-difference algorithm on a genetic distance matrix (Manni et al., 2004). We estimated the robustness of each barrier by bootstrapping the DNA alignment (100 replicates) using sequest and cladist in the PHYLIP package (Felsenstein, 1989).

3. Results

3.1. Gene tree, species tree, divergence times, and spatiotemporal phylogeography

Our BI and ML concatenated gene tree inference methods recovered almost identical topologies (Figs. 2 and S1). The ND2 individual gene agreed with the concatenated gene tree topology, while the individual nuclear genes showed some disagreement in the relationships among major clades compared with the concatenated tree (Fig. S1). For simplicity, we present here the Bayesian consensus phylogeny obtained from the concatenated analysis (Fig. 2). We found that the nominal species *N. meridionalis* comprises five highly divergent and well-supported lineages (Fig. 2). Samples within these lineages were collected at non-overlapping geographic distributions, with the notable exception of clades 3 and 4, which were sympatric and syntopic in extreme southwestern Goiás (Fig. 1). The most divergent lineage (lineage 5) corresponded to a single individual collected in northern Goiás, and it was the sister group to all other samples within *N. meridionalis*, having a mean ND2 sequence divergence between groups (number of base differences per site from averaging over all sequence pairs between groups) of 17% against all internal clades (see the percent sequence divergence for each nuclear gene in Table S3). The next well-defined group was lineage 4, sampled in Mato Grosso and Mato Grosso do Sul, which formed the sister group to the other three lineages and was separated from the remnant internal clades by a 16% ND2 sequence divergence. Finally, lineage 3 (widely sampled in Goiás, Distrito Federal, and Minas Gerais) was the sister group of lineages 1 (sampled in Rondônia) and 2 (sampled in Mato Grosso, Piauí, Maranhão, and Tocantins), which were separated by a 7% mean sequence divergence.

The species tree recovered with high support most of the relationships found in the gene genealogies, except the sister clade relation between lineages 1 + 2 and lineages 3 + 4, which had low support (Fig. 3). Also, the species tree topology differed from the gene genealogy topology by having lineages 3 and 4 as sister groups (Fig. 3), while in the gene genealogy lineage 4 was the sister group to the clade consisting of lineages 1, 2, and 3 (Fig. 2). Lineage 5, from Cavalcante, Goiás, in central Brazil, diverged from all other *N. meridionalis* lineages about 21.6 mya (95% highest posterior density – HPD interval: 29.87–14.83) during the Oligocene–Miocene transition. Although all crown lineages diverged in the Miocene, within-lineage diversification continued into the Pliocene and Pleistocene.

The Bayesian relaxed random walk model estimated the origin of *N. meridionalis* lineages to be located in western Goiás state at about 25.4 mya (95% HPD: 34.8–16.5), with independent long distance lineage diffusion events to Mato Grosso, Mato Grosso do Sul, Rondônia, Tocantins, Piauí, and Bahia starting at about 10 mya (95% HPD: 16.2–7.3) (Fig. 4). These diffusion events seem to include quite long distances, but can also represent geographic sampling gaps in the intervening regions. In other words, most of the deep divergence events within *N. meridionalis* (>10 my) appear to have occurred within the central part of the current distribution, while some diffusion events to Rondônia and Piauí occurred more recently (<5 my), during the final establishment of peripheral populations.

3.2. Genetic clustering and species delimitation

Using the concatenated dataset, BAPS revealed five highly supported genetic clusters (probability = 1; Fig. S2). Moreover, for all different prior distributions used, the BPP method consistently found very high speciation probabilities (1.0) for all internal nodes. In other words, according to our BPP analysis, all five groups identified in BAPS have high probability of representing different species (Table 1).

3.3. Niche conservatism/divergence

The background similarity test using the species distribution model revealed a statistically significant similarity of abiotic niches between lineage 3 and the background of lineage 2, with values of Schoener’s D and the similarity statistic I significantly higher than the null distributions obtained from 100 randomizations (P < 0.01; Fig. S3 and Table S4). The opposite relationship, the lineage 2 vs. the background of lineage 3 was not significant (Fig. S3 and Table S4). These results suggest that the climatic niches of lineages 2 and 3 have not differentiated significantly, supporting the role of niche conservatism at small geographic scales, since these two lineages were sampled parapatrically to each other.

3.4. Non-stationary analyses, isolation-by-distance, and geographic barrier tests

We found evidence for isolation by distance within lineages 2 and 3, with significant associations between geographic and genetic distances (lineage 2: r² = 0.494, P < 0.001; lineage 3: r² = 0.396, P < 0.01). Both lineages displayed a steep gradient in the local genetic differentiation across geography (Fig. 5); however, local genetic differentiation was higher in both west and east ends of the minimum convex polygon that circumscribes lineage 2, in
the municipalities of Nova Brasiłândia (map code 8) and Baixa Grande do Ribeiro (map code 3), respectively. In lineage 3, local genetic differentiation was larger in Itiquira (map code 19), which lies in the western end of lineage 3 distribution (Fig. 5). In summary, genetic differentiation between localities was not homogeneous across space within each lineage, instead it was higher at the west and/or east extremes of their distributions.

Finally, Barrier analysis within each lineage found two significant genetic discontinuities in lineage 2 (bootstrap 100%; Fig. 5), cant genetic discontinuities in lineage 2 (bootstrap 100%; Fig. 5), cant genetic discontinuities in lineage 2 (bootstrap 100%; Fig. 5), which were consistently supported by two independent analyses that take into account factors such as uncertainties due to unknown gene trees and the ancestral coalescent process (Yang and Rannala, 2010). Moreover, the fact that two of the lineages encountered (lineages 3 and 4) occur sympatrically and syntopically separated (lineages 3 and 4) occur sympatrically and syntopically separated (lineages 3 and 4) occur sympatrically and syntopically separated (lineages 3 and 4) occur sympatrically and syntopically separated (lineages 3 and 4) occur sympatrically and syntopically separated (lineages 3 and 4) occur sympatrically and syntopically separated (lineages 3 and 4) occur sympatrically and syntopically.

4. Discussion

4.1. Cryptic diversity within Norops meridionalis

The nominal species Norops meridionalis, as currently known (see distribution model in Fig. 1a), is supposed to have a wide geographic distribution in the Cerrado of Brazil, Paraguay, and Bolivia (Langstroth, 2006). Our genetic results, however, contradict this perspective indicating that rather than a single species N. meridionalis is composed by at least five geographically restricted cryptic species separated by deep genetic divergences. These five lineages were consistently supported by two independent analyses that take into account factors such as uncertainties due to unknown gene trees and the ancestral coalescent process (Yang and Rannala, 2010). Moreover, the fact that two of the lineages encountered (lineages 3 and 4) occur sympatrically and syntopically.
are separated by a maximum ND2 mean sequence divergence (Tollis et al., 2012) from southeastern North America (3–4%) interpopulational divergence (Glor et al., 2001). Other studies support this same perspective, showing deep divergences of South America, but with an early-mid Miocene invasion. Other studies support this same perspective, showing deep divergences among Norops clades, and suggesting that South America was colonized earlier by Norops, way before the Pliocene (Nicholson et al., 2005). In agreement, two recent studies conclude that the Panama gap actually closed way before the Pliocene, around 13–15 mya (Bacon et al., 2015; Montes et al., 2015), which falls into our 95% credible interval of our older divergence time estimation. This older connection between North and South America would allow for an earlier invasion of Norops across South America, potentially explaining the deep divergences found within South American beta anoles.

The deep divergence found within N. meridionalis supports our first hypothesis, that at broader temporal and geographical scales the genetic divergence in N. meridionalis is mainly explained by old, rather than young events. Simultaneously, we rejected our second hypothesis, finding that the deepest branches split back into the early-mid Miocene, when most of the geophysical activity of the Cerrado took place (Mulch et al., 2010; Uba et al., 2006). We found some noticeable differentiation in the elevation range between the two lineages with better geographical sampling. For instance, lineage 2 appears to have a lower elevation range (159–630 masl), compared with lineages 3 and 4 (602–1221 masl). Even though this elevation segregation could be an artifact of...

For all the reasons mentioned in the above paragraphs, including the evidence from species delimitation methods (see below) we conclude that N. meridionalis is a complex composed of at least five cryptic species. Since we do not have samples from the N. meridionalis type and neotype localities in Paraguay (Motte and Cacciali, 2009), it is possible that none of the five lineages found here corresponds to N. meridionalis sensu stricto. Furthermore, because we focus on the patterns and processes promoting diversification in N. meridionalis, formal descriptions of the cryptic species discovered here are beyond the goals of our study.

4.2. Divergence among cryptic lineages

Classical studies on the historical biogeography of beta anoles have suggested that Norops originated in Mexico–Central America, and only recently (after the Pliocene) invaded South America as a result of the closure of the Panamá gap (Vanzolini and Williams, 1970; Williams, 1969), which early estimates indicate to have occurred ~3.5 mya. The same authors also indicate that N. meridionalis would be a surviving representative of the first wave of beta anole invasion of South America (Vanzolini and Williams, 1970). Our results, however, indicate older divergence times within the nominal N. meridionalis that do not agree with a Pliocene invasion of South America, but with an early-mid Miocene invasion. Other studies support this same perspective, showing deep divergences among Norops clades, and suggesting that South America was colonized earlier by Norops, way before the Pliocene (Nicholson et al., 2005).

The species tree estimation of Norops meridionalis lineages, horizontal bars represent the 95% posterior credible intervals of the divergence time estimates. Numbers under the gray box indicate estimated ages in millions of years ago (mya).
limited sampling within these two lineages, it is possible that these disparate elevation ranges are a relict of a past where allopatric reproductive isolation was promoted by tectonic and paleogeographic reorganizations, such as the uplift of the Central Brazilian Plateau (Machado et al., 2014). In other words, it is possible that an ancestral *Norops meridionalis* lineage was distributed over the central Cerrado landscape before the topographic reorganization during the Miocene and, then, habitat stratification might have isolated plateaus and depressions promoting geographic isolation, as suggested for other Cerrado vertebrates (Domingos et al., 2014; Guigliano et al., 2013; Prado et al., 2012). Even though the timing of the first divergence event of *N. meridionalis* also agrees with the time when the Atlantic ocean transgressed up southern Bolivia through the Paraná basin (Lundberg et al., 1998), this marine transgression was too far from central Goiás (where most divergent lineages within *N. meridionalis* are found) to have split by vicariance the lineages found in this study. Certainly, however, additional samples of the nominal *N. meridionalis* from Paraguay and Bolivia might change this conclusion. Therefore, we hypothesize that the main process that explains the observed genetic pattern in *N. meridionalis* is geographic isolation due to paleogeographic reorganizations in central Cerrado, rather than vicariance due to the Paraná basin marine transgressions.

Our Bayesian relaxed random walk model estimated the spatiotemporal dynamics of lineages within *N. meridionalis*, placing its geographic origin (with a 80% HPD) between the states of Goiás and Mato Grosso around 25 mya. This scenario suggests that the distribution of early lineages might have been restricted to the central Cerrado area. After a long period of geographic stasis, major divergence events (~10 mya) might have occurred within the central Cerrado before the occurrence of diffusion events that agree with the spatial patterns of genetic diversity found (Fig. 5). In other words, the major divergence events might have occurred as a consequence of geomorphological rearrangements in central Cerrado combined with subsequent long-distance migrations, followed by geographic diffusion and further diversification. The final establishment of the peripheral populations of these lineages took place in the last 2.5 my. Therefore, we believe that a combination of vicariance at the deeper levels and dispersal at the shallower levels were important for the genetic diversification of the *meridionalis* complex.

The pattern of diversification within *N. meridionalis* follows a northwest-southeast directionality (Fig. 1), having in the northwestern extreme lineage 1 in the state of Rondônia, and lineage 3 at the southeastern extreme in Goiás and Minas Gerais. This northwest-southeast differentiation has been observed in other endemic Cerrado vertebrates, such as the frog *Hypsiboas albopunctatus* (Prado et al., 2012) and the lizard *Microablepharus atticus* (Santos et al., 2014). This pattern, however, is not ubiquitous. For example, the gecko *Phyllopezus pollicaris* shows a southwest-northeast pattern instead (Werneck et al., 2012a), a pattern shared by other savanna lizard endemics such as *Vanzosaura* spp. (Recoder et al., 2014), and *Microablepharus maximilianii* (Werneck, Pers. Commu.).

We believe these two contrasting and prevalent geographical patterns are dependent on two main factors: (a) how large the range of the lineage is, and (b) whether the lineage is endemic to the Cerrado or not. We find, for instance, that the species that are not endemic to the Cerrado and whose ranges span the whole South American dry diagonal display a southwest-northeast pattern likely resultant from the effect of the altitudinal break caused by the uplift of Central Brazilian Plateau on the fragmentation of populations (Nogueira et al., 2011; Werneck, 2011). On the contrary, Cerrado-endemic species (such as *N. meridionalis*) display striking similarities in their southeast-northwest genetic differentiation pattern likely resulting from geomorphological features aligned perpendicularly to the southwest-northeast axis across the Cerrado.

The diversification of the Cerrado endemic lizards, therefore, is consistent across species and appears to be driven by

---

**Fig. 5.** Comparison between local genetic differentiation and characteristics of topography and precipitation within two cryptic lineages found in *Norops meridionalis* – lineage 2 (top) and lineage 3 (bottom); (a and d) Local genetic differentiation within *N. meridionalis* in lineage 2 (top) and lineage 3 (bottom). Shapes represent the minimum convex polygon that encompasses the sampling sites of each lineage. Numbers correspond to sampling localities depicted in Fig. 1 and Table S1. Warmer colors indicate higher local genetic differentiation (higher genetic distances between individuals at sampled sites and interpolated unsampled neighbors). Local genetic differentiation was estimated using a normalized similarity matrix, and it measures 1 – (genetic distance between sampled individuals and unsampled neighbors divided by maximum genetic distance within the nominal species), at a resolution of 0.1 km; (b and e) Topographic map showing elevation above sea level. The polygons and numbers correspond to the minimum convex polygon that encompasses the sampling sites of each lineage. Numbers correspond to sampling localities depicted in Fig. 1 and Table S1. Warmer colors indicate higher local genetic differentiation (higher genetic distances between individuals at sampled sites and interpolated unsampled neighbors). Local genetic differentiation was estimated using a normalized similarity matrix, and it measures 1 – (genetic distance between sampled individuals and unsampled neighbors divided by maximum genetic distance within the nominal species), at a resolution of 0.1 km; (c and f) Mean annual precipitation (averaged over the last 50 years) obtained from the WorldClim dataset. The polygon represents the same geographic area depicted in the first two columns. Black dotted lines in the two central panels delineate Brazilian states borders. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
geomorphological processes fragmenting populations during the early-mid Miocene. Alternative factors explaining the diversification patterns across Cerrado-endemic species, such as migrations into and from the Amazon and Atlantic Forest, climatic stability, as well as idiosyncratic factors dependent on the natural history of each species, seem to have less explanatory power relative to geomorphology and time.

4.3. Variation within cryptic lineages

In the presence of isolation by distance alone, the rate at which individuals differentiate should not be affected by the landscape (Dufoire-Frebourg and Blum, 2014). We found evidence, however, of non-stationary isolation by distance within lineages 2 and 3, the lineages with better geographic sampling (Fig. 5). In other words, the rate at which individuals differentiate within these two lineages depends on the landscape. For instance, within lineage 2 there was higher local genetic differentiation (warmer colors) near the extreme west and east ends of the lineage 2-distribution (near Chapada dos Guimarães, in Mato Grosso, and Serra do Penitente, in Maranhão, respectively). These two regions are characterized by mid-elevations (~600 masl) and complex topographies. Meanwhile, the lower local genetic differentiation (colder colors) in lineage 2 is placed along the intervening flat Araguaia–Tocantins basin, characterized by lower elevations (~180 masl) and relatively high annual precipitation values (above 2000 mm) (Fig. 5).

Our Barrier analysis confirmed these results placing two statistically supported genetic discontinuities isolating the two most western and eastern-end populations (Fig. 5), suggesting that while the flat topographic conditions of the Araguaia–Tocantins may promote gene flow among populations, the complex topographies of the extreme-end regions are likely acting as geographic barriers to gene flow, promoting lineage 2 extreme-ends genetic divergence. Also, we noticed that the presence of geographic barriers was more closely associated with topographic variables than with precipitation variables.

We found a similar pattern in lineage 3, with the highest local genetic differentiation near the Planalto do Alto Tocantins-Paranáiba, a region surrounded by Serra da Estrela, a ridge that reaches 700 m, while the region with lowest local genetic differentiation is near Paracatu (Minas Gerais), a topographically homogenous region. The two supported barriers within lineage 3 also support the role of topographic features as geographic barriers, having a nice agreement between the geographic position of the genetic discontinuities and the topographic, as well as the precipitation profile of the landscape (Fig. 5).

The results in both lineages suggest that genetic differentiation is higher in regions with more complex topographies, presumably as a result of topography preventing high gene flow among populations, while the regions with flatter topographies might promote higher gene flow, decreasing the overall differentiation across small geographic scales. We noticed, however, that the geographic regions with more complex topographies were not necessarily the ones with higher local genetic differentiation, as can be seen in Fig. 5. Clearly, besides topography, local genetic differentiation may be affected by precipitation, as well as a multitude of demographic and historical biogeographic processes that we did not measured in this study (Avise, 2000; Barton and Wilson, 1995; Wade and McCauley, 1988). It is clear, however, that topography plays an important role promoting intra and interspecific genetic divergence.

In summary, we found that LocalDiff and Barriers provided complementary information on the factors that might be influencing the genetic patterns observed. For _N. meridionalis_, we nominate the Araguaia–Tocantins basin, the Chapada dos Guimarães, Serra do Penitente, Planalto do Alto Tocantins-Paranáiba, and Serra da Estrela as the main geomorphological features promoting intraspecific genetic divergence at least in lineages 2 and 3.

4.4. Niche conservatism vs. niche divergence

Anoles are a classical example of evolutionary divergence frequently overcoming niche conservatism (Knott et al., 2006; Losos, 2008). Several examples of _Anolis_ lizards have shown a persistent ability to diversify in ecomorphs and microhabitat preferences once they encounter novel habitats such as the Caribbean Islands (Losos et al., 2003). We did not measure the niche in terms of ecomorphs or microhabitat preferences, and therefore we cannot conclude if niche divergence or conservatism (at a fine-grain level) is supported in _N. meridionalis_. From a climatic perspective, however, our background similarity test fails to find evidence that the climatic niches between lineages 2 and 3 are more different than expected by chance. In other words, using a species distribution model approach, our data supports niche conservatism, rather than niche divergence, as the main process leading to the diversification of these two lineages. If this assumption is correct, then the mechanistic explanation for the diversification within _N. meridionalis_ may be related with the inability of populations to adapt fast to novel climatic conditions. In other words, due to either stabilizing selection, gene flow, genetic constraints due to pleiotropy, and/or lack of appropriate genetic variation (Wiens and Graham, 2005), populations of the ancestral _N. meridionalis_ were not able to adapt to novel conditions provided by the uplifted Brazilian Plateau during the early-mid Miocene, promoting the appearance of geographic barriers to gene flow.

In fact, there is research that suggests lizards exhibit a conservative thermal physiology and rely on behavioral thermoregulation to face cold and variable temperatures (Navas, 2002), which supports the role of niche conservatism at least in some species.

We cannot, however, rule out niche divergence as the predominant mechanism that promoted diversification within _N. meridionalis_, mainly because (a) we only tested two out of five lineages for climatic niche similarity, (b) we did not include fine grain ecogeographic variables, and (c) we admittedly used coarse bioclimatic variables to describe the climatic niche (which might explain the fact that the background similarity test was unable to detect significant differences in the climatic niches between lineages 2 and 3, even though their sampled localities are noticeable different in terms of their elevation range). Since some studies have found that _Anolis_ thermal biology can diverge really fast even between recently diverged taxa (Hertz et al., 2013), it is necessary to explore in more detail how prevalent are these two mechanisms in these Cerrado lizards.

5. Conclusions

The Cerrado region was once considered a species-poor biome inhabited by generalist species (Vanzolini, 1976). However, our finding that _N. meridionalis_ is not a single species, but a complex of five cryptic species instead agrees with the conclusions of recent studies that suggest the Cerrado is a tremendously diverse biome with a high proportion of endemic species (Gamble et al., 2012; Nogueira et al., 2010). The deep divergence times found in _N. meridionalis_ suggest that beta anoles invaded South America earlier than previously thought. Even though the topographic heterogeneity of the Cerrado is not as conspicuous as other biodiversity hotspots such as the Northern Andes, it is clear that the complex topographic reorganization during the Miocene was an important factor in promoting genetic divergence both at inter and intraspecific scales in the Cerrado region. Although our data supports the role of niche conservatism as the main mechanism...
promoting allopatric speciation in the meridionalis complex, we only tested for it in two out of five lineages recovered here, and therefore we consider it as a preliminary result. We cannot rule out, therefore, the role of niche divergence and the effect of local ecological factors promoting diversification in N. meridionalis. We predict that cryptic species are prevalent and widespread in the Cerrado region. Finding and characterizing such species in the near future may change our current perspectives on the general processes that promote diversification in the open areas in South America, having significant conservation implications in the region.
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